



From Icelandic to Swedish, from
reflexive to antipassive:

The suffix *-s(t)* in cross-linguistic
comparison

Niklas Wiskandt

Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

Forum for Germanic Language Studies
Conference • Bristol • 10.01.2020

1. Introduction

1.1. Phenomenology

■ Verbal suffix *-s / -st*

- (1) *Dørene lukke-s klokken 7.*
 door.PL.DEF close-ST o'clock 7
 'The doors are closed/close at 7 o'clock.'
 (Danish; cf. Allan et al. 1995:315)

■ last suffix of the verb form

- (2) *Dyrnar opna-ð-u-st knappliga.*
 door.DEF open-PST-PL-ST suddenly
 'The door suddenly opened.'

■ several distinct functions: reflexive,
reciprocal, anticausative, passive,
antipassive, modal

(Faroese; cf. Thráinsson et al. 2004:278)

1.1. Phenomenology

Voice in Scandinavian languages

■ unmarked active voice (3a)

- (3) a. *Vagtmanden lukke-r dørene klokken 7.*

watchman.DEF close-PRS door.PL.DEF o'clock 7

'The watchman closes the doors at 7 o'clock.'

■ periphrastic passive voice (3b)

- b. *Dørene b/live-r lukke-t af vagtmanden klokken 7.*

door.PL.DEF become-PRS close-PTCP by watchman.DEF o'clock 7

'The doors are closed by the watchman at 7 o'clock.'

■ third option: -s(t) form (3c)

- c. *Dørene lukke-s klokken 7.*

door.PL.DEF close-ST o'clock 7

'The doors close at 7 o'clock.'

(Danish)

1.2. Synchrony vs. Diachrony

- Common ancestor of the present-day suffixes in Old Norse: reflexive pronoun
- This talk: Synchronic investigation
- No claim about the meaning of the marker at earlier stages
- See Ottósson (1992) for a detailed investigation of the diachrony from Old Norse to Icelandic

1.3. The investigation: languages and data

- Danish, Swedish, Bokmål Norwegian, Faroese, Icelandic
- Synchronic data
- Examples from reference grammars and further literature
- Judgements by native speakers
- Qualitative analysis without claim for statistical implications
- Ongoing research - not the final result!

1.4. Structure

1. Introduction
2. The functions of $-s(t)$
 - Reflexive function
 - Reciprocal function
 - Anticausative function
 - Passive function
 - Antipassive function
 - Modal function
3. The overall picture
4. $-s(t)$ and the middle voice
5. $-s(t)$ in the context of European languages
6. Conclusion

2. The functions of $-s(t)$

2.1. Reflexive function

- found in Faroese and Icelandic
- coreference of actor and undergoer

- (4) *Hon reis-ti-st frá borðinum.*
she raise-PST-ST from table.DEF.DAT
'She got up from the table.'
(Faroese; cf. Thráinsson et al. 2004:277)
- (5) *Jón dulbjó-st sem prestur.*
Jón.NOM disguise.PST-ST as priest
'John disguised himself as a priest.'
(Icelandic; cf. Jónsson 2005:400, from Wood 2014:1389)

2.1. Reflexive function

- Danish, Norwegian & Swedish only use a free reflexive pronoun
- Pronoun strategy also available in Faroese & Icelandic
- Most verbs only allow for the pronoun strategy for a reflexive interpretation, e.g. (7)
- Conclusion: reflexive function of -s(t) only marginally present

- (6) *Han vaske-r sig.*
he wash-PRS REFL
'He washes himself.'
- (Danish; cf. Allan et al. 1995:305)
- (7) a. **Jón raka-ði-st.*
Jón shave-PST-ST
b. *Jón raka-ði sig.*
Jón shave-PST REFL
'John shaved himself.'
- (Icelandic; cf. Wood 2014:1394)

2.2. Reciprocal function

- found in all five languages

(8) *Vi møde-s i morgen aften.*

we meet-ST in tomorrow evening

‘We’ll meet tomorrow evening.’

(Danish; cf. Allan et al. 1995:311)

- two or more participants have both actor and undergoer roles

(9) *Maðurin og konan heilsa-ð-u-st.*

man.DEF and woman.DEF greet-PST-PL-ST

‘The man and the woman greeted each other.’

(Faroese; cf. Thráinsson et al. 2004:277)

2.2. Reciprocal function

- (10) *De mó-t-e-s på pubben.*
they meet-PST-ST at pub.DEF
'They met in the pub.'

(Norwegian; cf. Holmes & Enger 2018:281)

- (12) *Jóna og Siggi kysstu-st eftir ballið.*
Jóna.NOM and Siggi.NOM kiss.PST.PL-ST after dance.DEF
'Jóna and Siggi kissed after the dance.'
(Icelandic; cf. Jónsson 2005:399, from Wood 2014:1389)

- (11) *De kyss-te-s.*
they kiss-PST-ST
'They kissed.'
(Swedish; cf. Holmes & Hinchliffe 1994:306)

2.3. Anticausative function

- found in all five languages

(13) *Dyrnar opna-ð-u-st knappliga.*
door.DEF open-PST-PL-ST suddenly

'The door suddenly opened.'

(Faroese; cf. Thráinsson et al. 2004:278)

- different from passives: fail agentivity tests

■ 'on purpose' modification, cf. Kallulli (2007:775–776), Beavers & Koontz-Garboden (2013:201)

(14) *Stóllinn eyðilagði-st (*viljandi).*
chair.DEF destroy.PST-ST *on_purpose
'The chair got destroyed.'

(Icelandic; cf. Thráinsson 2007:284)

2.3. Anticausative function

■ Doubt for some cases: anticausative or passive?

■ Literature and speakers give divergent translations

■ Anticausative interpretation at least possible

■ Tests needed, e.g. for agentivity

- (15) *Dørene stenge-s.*
door.PL.DEF close-ST
'The doors are closing.'
(Norwegian; cf. Holmes & Enger 2018:276)

- (16) *Dørene lukke-s klokken 7.*
door.PL.DEF close-ST o'clock 7
'The doors are closed/close at 7 o'clock.'
(Danish; cf. Allan et al. 1995:315)

- (17) *Han döda-de-s i en bilolycka.*
he kill-PST-ST in INDEF.U car_accident
'He was killed/died in a car accident.'
(Swedish; cf. Holmes & Hinchliffe 1994:309)

2.4. Passive function

- found in Danish, Norwegian and Swedish; marginally present in Faroese
- coexists with periphrastic passive strategy
- “tendency to use the -s passive about a long-term or repeated action and the *b/ive* passive about a single event” (Allan et al. 1995:319)
- Swedish: -s passives have a non-single-event interpretation in the present tense; use less limited in the past tense (Holmes & Hinchliffe 1994:314)

- (20) a. *Posten b/ive-r udbragt nu.*
post.DEF become-PRS deliver.PTCP now
'The post is being delivered now.'
- b. *Posten udbringe-s hver dag.*
post.DEF deliver-ST every day
'The post is delivered every day.'
- (Danish; cf. Allan et al. 1995:319)

2.5. Passive function

Single-event passive

- available only in the past tense in Swedish and Norwegian

(21)	<i>Ett rymningsförsök stoppa-de-s i sista stund.</i>
	INDEF.N escape_attempt prevent-PST-ST in last moment
	'An escape attempt was prevented at the last moment.'

(Swedish; Holmes & Hinchliffe 1994:315)

2.5. Passive function

Non-single-event passive

- common in Danish, Norwegian and Swedish
- durative, habitual, repetitive events

(22)	<i>Diktatorn</i>	<i>frukta-s</i>	<i>av alla.</i>
	dictator.DEF	fear-MID	by all
‘The dictator is feared by everyone.’			
(Swedish; cf. Holmes & Hinchliffe 1994:314)			

(23)	<i>Slottet</i>	<i>eje-s</i>	<i>af</i>	<i>en</i>	<i>fond.</i>
	castle.DEF	own-ST	by	INDEF.U	foundation
‘The castle is owned by a foundation.’					
(Danish; cf. Allan et al. 1995:316)					

(24)	<i>Sorgen</i>	<i>beskrive-s</i>	<i>av</i>	<i>Bildøen.</i>
	grief.DEF	describe-ST	by	Bildøen
‘The grief is described by Bildøen.’				
(Norwegian; Holmes & Enger 2018:276)				

2.5. Passive function

Problematic case: Faroese

- examples are not clearly passive
- until further investigations: passive regarded as marginal function in Faroese

(25) *Oyggin kalla-st Nólsoy.*
island.DEF call-ST Nólsoy
'The island is called Nólsoy.'
(Faroese; cf. Thráinsson et al. 2004:70)

- (26) a. *Hann varð noyddur av landinum (av myndugleikunum).*
he become.PST force.PTCP off country.DEF by authority.PL.DEF
'He was forced (by the authorities) to leave the country.'
- b. *Hann noyddi-st av landinum (*av myndugleikunum).*
he force.PST-ST off country.DEF
'He was forced to leave the country.'
- (Faroese; cf. Thráinsson et al. 2004:71)

2.5. Antipassive function

■ found only in Swedish

(27) *Nässlən bränn-s.*

nettle.DEF burn-ST

‘The nettle stings.’

(Swedish; cf. Holmes & Hinchliffe 1994:306)

■ actor remains in the subject position;
undergoer left out or in oblique
realization

(28) *Olle reta-s med mig.*

Olle tease-ST with me

‘Olle is teasing me.’

(Swedish; cf. Holmes & Hinchliffe 1994:309)

■ valency-reducing operation that
backgrounds the undergoer: antipassive

■ regularly interpreted as a disposition or
habit (Holmes & Hinchliffe 1994:308)

2.6. Modal function?

- suggested in the literature for Faroese and Icelandic (e. g. Kress 1982, Thráinsson et al. 2004)
- expresses disposition of the subject to undergo the event denoted by the verb stem
- dubitable if it should be considered a separate, systematic function
- modal meaning could be lexicalized or contributed by pragmatics

(18) *Ikki slep-st upaftur uttan hjálp.*
NEG get-ST up_again without help
'One cannot get up again without help.'
(Faroese; cf. Thráinsson et al. 2004:278)

(19) *í Afríku finn-st gull.*
in Africa.DAT find-ST gold
'In Africa, one can find gold.'
(Icelandic; cf. Kress 1982:144)

2.7. Deponent verbs

- Verbs that only have *-s(t)* forms, but no active forms
- present in all Scandinavian languages
- do not constitute a separate function
- may be historically motivated by one of the aforementioned functions

(29) Jeg syne-s, at det er en god idé.
I think-ST that it be.PRS INDEF.U good idea
'I think that it's a good idea.'
(Danish; cf. Allan et al. 1995:311)

3. The overall picture

The functions of *-s(t)*: overview

Figure 1: Presence of *-s(t)* functions in the Scandinavian languages

Function	Reflexive	Recipr.	Anticaus.	Passive	Antipass.	Modal
Danish	-	+	+	+	-	-
Faroese	(+)	+	+	(+)	-	+
Icelandic	(+)	+	+	-	-	+
Norwegian	-	+	+	+	-	-
Swedish	-	+	+	+	+	-

The functions of *-s(t)*: overview

Figure 2: Presence of *-s(t)* functions - updated

Function	Reflexive	Recipr.	Anticaus.	Passive + s. ev.	Passive - s.ev.	Antipass.
Danish	-	+	+	-	+	-
Faroese	(+)	+	+	-	(+)	-
Icelandic	(+)	+	+	-	-	-
Norwegian	-	+	+	(+)	+	-
Swedish	-	+	+	(+)	+	+

The functions of *-s(t)*: overview

Figure 3: Presence of *-s(t)* functions - The continuum


Function	Reflexive	Recipr.	Anticaus.	Passive - s. ev.	Passive + s. ev.	Antipass.
Icelandic	(+)	+	+	-	-	-
Faroese	(+)	+	+	(+)	-	-
Danish	-	+	+	+	-	-
Norwegian	-	+	+	+	(+)	-
Swedish	-	+	+	+	(+)	+

- Continuum of languages from Icelandic to Swedish
- Continuum of functions from Reflexive to Antipassive

-s(t) and Haspelmath's map of reflexives and middles

- Haspelmath's map: visualization of implicational correlations between readings of reflexive/middle markers
- demonstrated on French and Russian
- fails to explain the findings about Scandinavian -s(t)
- Problem 1: Presence of passive and anticausative functions should imply potential passive (modal) function
- Problem 2: Presence of reciprocal and anticausative functions should imply grooming-type reflexive function

Figure 4: Semantic map of reflexives and middles
(Haspelmath 2003: 223–226)



The functions of $-s(t)$: a common denominator

Reduction of the syntactic valency

- Different types of syntactic valency reduction
 - coreference: reflexive, reciprocal
 - backgrounding of the actor: anticausative, passive
 - backgrounding of the undergoer: antipassive
- Oblique realization of the removed argument possible with some, but not all functions
- Backgrounding of undergoer - an exception?
 - Janic (2016): marking of antipassives like reflexives occurs in several language families

The functions of $-s(t)$: a common denominator

Low transitivity: Almost all functions of $-s(t)$ are not prototypically transitive

- Hopper & Thompson (1980) parameters
 - low distinctness of A & U (reflexive, reciprocal, anticausative)
 - low affectedness of U (antipassive)
 - non-volitional (anticausative)
 - non-punctual (non-single-event passive, antipassive)
- Næss (2007): “The Maximally Distinguished Arguments Hypothesis”
- Problem: single-event passive function
 - $-s$ passives preferably interpreted as non-single-event; single-event interpretation marginal?

4. $-s(t)$ and the middle voice

4.1. What is the middle voice?

- grammatical marking of one or more patterns of correspondence between semantic arguments and grammatical relations which is distinct from active and passive
- reduces syntactic valency by 1

Kemmer (1993)

- low elaboration of events
- low distinguishability of participants
(cf. Næss 2007 on transitivity)
- low distinguishability of sub-events

Kaufmann (2004, 2007)

- uncanonical control properties

Klaiman (1991)

- affected or backgrounded actor

4.2. Is *-s(t)* an instance of the middle voice?

- Insular Scandinavian *-st* has been called “middle” or “mediopassive” in the literature (e.g. Anderson 1990, Ottósson 1992, König & van der Auwera 2002, Thráinsson et al. 2004, Thráinsson 2007)
- Mainland Scandinavian *-s* usually called a passive marker (e.g. Holmes & Hinchliffe 1994, Allan et al. 1995, König & van der Auwera 2002); it is more than that!
- Criteria for middle voice
 - third option, neither active nor passive ✓
 - valency reduction ✓
 - low elaboration of events ✓
 - uncanonical control properties ✓

5. *-s(t)* in the context of European languages

5. -s(t) in the context of European languages

- use of weak or bound reflexives as middle markers in European languages: rather the norm than the exception (cf. Geniušienė 1987)
 - Baltic
 - Slavic
 - Romance
- Scandinavian: an especially remarkable case
 - part of inflection (from morphological point of view)
 - continuum of functions and languages

6. Conclusion

6.1. Summary of the findings

- first general account of *-s(t)* in the Scandinavian languages
- Cross-linguistic continuum
 - functional dimension: reflexive - reciprocal/anticausative - non-single-event passive - single-event passive - antipassive
 - language dimension: Icelandic - Faroese - Danish - Norwegian - Swedish
- Common denominator of the functions
 - reduction of the syntactic valency
 - low transitivity
- “middle voice” as an appropriate cover term

6.2. Outlooks for further research

- Still a lot to do - empirical research needed!
- Why is it exactly the reciprocal and anticausative functions that are present in all languages?
- Differentiation of anticausative and passive functions in Mainland Scandinavian?
- Why did only Swedish develop the antipassive function? Due to other properties?
- What about the distinction [\pm single event]? Relevant also for antipassive?
- Diachronic implications of the continuum?

References

- Allan, Robin, Philip Holmes & Tom Lundskaer-Nielsen. 1995. *Danish: A Comprehensive Grammar*. London: Routledge.
- Anderson, Stephen R. 1990. *The Grammar of Icelandic Verbs in -st*. in: Joan Maling & Annie Zaenen (eds.). *Modern Icelandic Syntax*. San Diego: Academic Press, 235–273.
- Beavers, John & Andrew Koontz-Garboden. 2013. In defense of the reflexivization analysis of anticausativization. *Lingua* 131, 199–216.
- Geniušienė, Emma. 1987. *The Typology of Reflexives*. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Holmes, Philip & Ian Hinchliffe. 1994. *Swedish: A Comprehensive Grammar*. London: Routledge.
- Holmes, Philip & Hans-Olav Enger. 2018. *Norwegian: A Comprehensive Grammar*. Oxon: Routledge.
- Hopper, Paul J. & Sandra A. Thompson. 1980. Transitivity in Grammar and Discourse. *Language* 56(2), 251–299.
- Janic, Katarzyna. 2016. *On the Reflexive-Antipassive Polysemy: Typological Convergence from Unrelated Languages*. in: Nicholas Rolle, Jeremy Steffman & John Sylak-Glassman. 2016. *Proceedings of the 36th Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*. Berkeley: Berkeley Linguistics Society, 158–173.

References II

- Kalluli, Dalina. 2007. Rethinking the Passive/Anticausative Distinction. *Linguistic Inquiry* 38(4), 770-780.
- Kaufmann, Ingrid. 2004. *Medium und Reflexiv. Eine Studie zur Verbsemantik*. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Kaufmann, Ingrid. 2007. Middle voice. *Lingua* 117, 1677–1714.
- Kemmer, Suzanne. 1993. *The Middle Voice*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Kemmer, Suzanne. 1994. *Middle Voice, Transitivity, and the Elaboration of Events*. in: Barbara Fox & Paul J. Hopper (eds.). *Voice: Form and Function*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 179–230.
- Klaiman, M. H. 1991. *Grammatical Voice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- König, Ekkehard & Johan van der Auwera (eds.). 2002². *The Germanic Languages*. London: Routledge.
- Kress, Bruno. 1982. *Isländische Grammatik*. München: Max Huber Verlag.
- Næss, Åshild. 2007. *Prototypical transitivity*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

References III

- Ottósson, Kjartan G. 1992. *The Icelandic Middle Voice. The morphological and phonological development.* Lund University: Doctoral dissertation.
- Thráinsson, Höskuldur. 2007. *The Syntax of Icelandic.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Thráinsson, Höskuldur, Jógvan í Lon Jacobsen, Hjalmar P. Petersen & Zakaris Svabo Hansen. 2004. *Faroese. An Overview and Reference Grammar.* Tórshavn: Føroya Fróðskaparfelag.
- Wood, Jim. 2014. Reflexive -st verbs in Icelandic. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 32(4), 1387–1425.

Thank you!



From Icelandic to Swedish, from
reflexive to antipassive:

The suffix *-s(t)* in cross-linguistic
comparison

Niklas Wiskandt

Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

Forum for Germanic Language Studies
Conference • Bristol • 10.01.2020